ECIMF CWA Concluding the project

Andrzej Bialecki ECIMF Project Chair <ab@getopt.org>

ECIMF meeting, Brussels 2002.10.22



ECIMF – CWAs

- Originally planned CEN Workshop Agreements:
 - ◆ Introduction (ECIMF-Intro)
 - General Methodology (ECIMF-GM)
 - ◆ Technical Specification (ECIMF-TS)
 - Proof-of-Concept (ECIMF-POC)
- Latest significant updates:
 - ◆ Latest versions: v.0.3 (November 28, 2001)
 - Some interesting presentations from February and June meetings not incorporated in the CWAs:
 - Interoperability through semantic translation with labeling
 - Relationship to recent ebTWG work on Business Objects
- Where are we now?



Introduction (ECIMF-Intro)

- Latest version changes:
 - Mostly cosmetic editing:
 - Added less dense project description
 - Suggestions from plenary:
 - Gentle introduction is needed
 - The current version is still far from it!
 - Understandable vocabulary
 - SMEs don't necessarily know what UML and ontologies are...
- Suggestions for final editing:

General Methodology (ECIMF-GM)

- Latest version changes:
 - Incorporation of the 4-layer model (added Business Context with REA)
 - Merged with the Frameworks Integration Guideline
 - FIG was split into general schema, and detailed instructions are moved to Appendix
 - Still needs much work...
 - Improved structure and graphics
 - Added Semantic Translation and REA meta-models
 - Expanded section on Business Context Matching
- Suggestions for final editing:



ECIMF-GM: open issues not yet addressed

- List of open issues not properly addressed in the latest version:
 - Process Mediation:
 - Currently lacks the overall concept how to model?
 - Proposed meta-model sounds too technical...
 - Needs to be rethought in light of the improved "Business Context with BOTs" approach
 - ◆ Semantic Translation:
 - Needs more research and a proof-of-concept
 - More information needed on various algorithms
 - Syntax Mapping:
 - There is only outline of the issues involved
 - Needs to be filled with more content
 - ECIMF-compliant runtime toolkit
 - Proposed block diagram provided, needs more discussion
- Suggestions for the final editing:



Technical Specification (ECIMF-TS)

- Latest version status:
 - Still empty...
 - Added references to meta-models that underlie the notation to be defined here.
 - This CWA is very dependent on ECIMF-GM, and perhaps can only be completed when that settles...
- Suggestions for the final editing:



Proof-of-Concept (ECIMF-POC)

- Latest version status:
 - Added realistic example:
 - Full analysis of the integration case
 - Real e-commerce frameworks considered
 - All four layers have been discussed
 - Work pending:
 - Business Context: update models according to the new BC/BOT
 - Process mediation: update worksheets acc. to BC/BOT
- Suggestions for the final editing:



ECIMF-POC: open issues not yet addressed

- List of open issues not properly addressed in the latest version
 - Semantic Translation:
 - Discussion needed the example uses a fully heuristic approach...
 - Syntax mapping:
 - Example needed
 - MANIFEST generation
 - Example syntax (based on ST Ontology) looks very complicated...
 - Implementation:
 - ◆ Figure 6: too low-level (this might be a syntax translator, but not the whole agent...)
- Suggestions for final editing:



ECIMF-POC: tools

- Latest status:
 - Conzilla: dropped in favor of Protégé-based tool
 - Implemented a new tool, which illustrates the use of semantic labeling for concept mapping
 - The tool is alpha-quality, and its practical usefulness is not quite clear
 - Protégé knowledge-base model seems flexible enough to express all/most concepts, but is somewhat at odds with Topic Maps and RDF.
- Suggestions for the final deliverables:

ECIMF Website status

- ECIMF Project Information Center
 - http://www.ecimf.org
 - Originally hosted by WebGiro, now hosted from funds of a group of former WebGiro employees
 - The current hosting arrangement is valid for the next three months
- Suggestions for continuing the availability of ECIMF project materials:



Summary and conclusions from the Chair ©

- The original goals of the project couldn't be fully reached:
 - Changed economic situation affected the main contributor, WebGiro
 - Too few contributions received from other Workshop members
 - This affects the final content of CWAs
- However, there are valuable achievements that should be preserved and propagated:
 - ◆ The four-layer model
 - Use of REA for economic alignment of business parties
 - Use of and strategies for semantic translation as an interoperability tool



Other conclusions and suggestions?

- New contact:
 - ◆ Andrzej Bialecki <ab@getopt.org>