- Joint meeting with the MULECO common needs and methodologies in the area of the semantic aspects of interoperability
- Achievements so far:
 - o ECIMF Interoperability model:
 - Bears similarities to many existing models
 - Tries to separate the business and technical aspects
 - Tries to address both static and dynamic aspects
 - Identifies the economic aspects and semantic aspects, often overlooked (too much concentration on technology)
 - o Business context concept
 - Adopted by UN/CEFACT eBTWG BCP/MC team
 - working closely with eBTWG (informal, but fruitful)
- Review of existing CWA drafts significantly amended from previous versions
 - o Current version 0.3, still 10 months to go available on the project website
 - General Methodology all identified areas of the 4-layer model have been described, and some possible approaches to addressing the issues in each are have been presented
 - o Proof-of-Concept mapping between RosettaNet and EDIFACT case
 - significant amount of work went into making it a realistic case
 - review of practitioners appreciated
- Simplify the tools:
 - o Conzilla (concept browser) too abstract, and difficult to develop
 - o more understandable for the business people
 - o requiring less resources
- Select the General Methodology draft, if must be
- Action points:
 - o Review of Business Context, and continuing alignment with eBWTG
 - o Gentle introduction to the project
 - currently too difficult
 - needed as the awareness material explain the benefits of our work in term understandable for business users
 - o more focus on delivering guidelines, instead of basic research:
 - the areas of semantic translation and process mediation are still uncharted we try to identify various existing approaches, like e.g. intelligent agent technologies
 - the area of economic models in e-commerce is being pursued by eBTWG
 - less resources required to deliver guidelines than to do research
 - o Search for tools that would be simpler to adapt to support the methodology
 - o Gather reviews on the Interop. Model and Proof-of-Concept mapping.
 - o More input needed from the:
 - integration product vendors (Tibco, IONA, BizTalk, IBM, etc)
 - practitioners and system integrators
 - comments from other workshop members, also from the sectorial workshops!